REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE METCALFE COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2006 TAXES

For The Period April 22, 2006 through April 30, 2007



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE 502.573.0050 FACSIMILE 502.573.0067

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE METCALFE COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2006 TAXES

For the Period April 22, 2006 Through April 30, 2007

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2006 Taxes for Metcalfe County Sheriff for the period April 22, 2006 through April 30, 2007. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$2,216,033 for the districts for 2006 taxes, retaining commissions of \$91,596 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$2,109,508 to the districts for 2006 Taxes. Taxes of \$14,410 are due to the districts from the Sheriff and refunds of \$1,008 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comment:

The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Disbursements

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

<u>CONTENTS</u>	PAGE
-----------------	------

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	. 1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2006 TAXES	.3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	.5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	.13



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor
Jonathan Miller, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Greg Wilson, Metcalfe County Judge/Executive
Honorable Rondal Shirley, Metcalfe County Sheriff
Members of the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Metcalfe County Sheriff's Settlement - 2006 Taxes for the period April 22, 2006 through April 30, 2007. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Metcalfe County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Metcalfe County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period April 22, 2006 through April 30, 2007, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated April 11, 2008 on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor
Jonathan Miller, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Greg Wilson, Metcalfe County Judge/Executive
Honorable Rondal Shirley, Metcalfe County Sheriff
Members of the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation of Duties Over Receipts And Disbursements

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

April 11, 2008

State Taxes

15,811

465,027

20,051

METCALFE COUNTY RONDAL SHIRLEY, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2006 TAXES

For The Period April 22, 2006 Through April 30, 2007

County Taxes

Special

Taxing Districts

79,776

456,394

18,983

School Taxes

171,714

1,098,557

43,942

\$ 959,946 Real Estate 171,079 \$ 382,553 304,141 Tangible Personal Property 15,004 60,614 171,525 37,682 Fire Protection 1,502 **Increases Through Exonerations** 20 45 36 113 Franchise Taxes 44,290 109,484 233,606 Additional Billings 145 365 116 65 Oil and Gas Property Taxes 941 2,105 5,283 1,674 Penalties 1,875 4,151 10,357 3,352 Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt 5 (6) (17)(13)234,759 536,170 1,270,271 480,838 Gross Chargeable to Sheriff Credits 4,542 **Exonerations** 812 1,814 1,432 Discounts 5,927 14,235 2,630 7,524 Delinquents: Real Estate 3,868 21,608 6,846 8,611 Tangible Personal Property 6 12 Franchise Taxes 31,391 63,418 131,317

38,704

196,055

8,620

Total Credits

Taxes Collected

Less: Commissions *

Charges

Taxes Due 1,054,615 444,976 187,435 437,411 Taxes Paid 185,639 433,684 1,044,475 445,710 Refunds (Current and Prior Year) 43 345 865 274 Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff) as of Completion of Audit \$ 1,753 \$ 3,382 \$ 9,275 \$ (1,008)

^{*} and ** See Next Page.

METCALFE COUNTY RONDAL SHIRLEY, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2006 TAXES For The Period April 22, 2006 Through April 30, 2007 (Continued)

* Commissions:

10% on \$ 10,000 4.25% on \$ 942,074 4% on \$ 1,263,959

** Special Taxing Districts:

Library District	\$ 818
Extension District	1,045
Soil Conservation	57
Ambulance	1,462
Due Districts	\$ 3,382

METCALFE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

April 30, 2007

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

METCALFE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT April 30, 2007 Continued

Note 2. Deposits (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of April 30, 2007, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2006. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2006. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was April 22, 2006 through April 30, 2007.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Metcalfe County Sheriff earned \$990 as interest income on 2006 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder was used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Metcalfe County Sheriff collected \$15,573 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.430(3). This amount was used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 6. Advertising Costs And Fees

The Metcalfe County Sheriff collected \$625 of advertising costs and \$1,365 of advertising fees allowed by KRS 424.330(1) and KRS 134.440(2). The Sheriff distributed the advertising costs to the county as required by statute, and the advertising fees were used to operate the Sheriff's office.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Greg Wilson, Metcalfe County Judge/Executive Honorable Rondal Shirley, Metcalfe County Sheriff Members of the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Metcalfe County Sheriff's Settlement - 2006 Taxes for the period April 22, 2006 through April 30, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated April 11, 2008. The Sheriff prepares his financial statement in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Metcalfe County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Metcalfe County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Metcalfe County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However as discussed below, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with the modified cash basis of accounting which is a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control over financial reporting. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Disbursements



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statement will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Metcalfe County Sheriff's Settlement - 2006 Taxes for the period April 22, 2006 through April 30, 2007 is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court, and the Kentucky Governor's Office for Local Development and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

April 11, 2008



METCALFE COUNTY RONDAL SHIRLEY, SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Period April 22, 2006 through April 30, 2007

INTERNAL CONTROL –MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation of Duties Over Receipts And Disbursements

The Sheriff's office has a lack of adequate segregation of duties over receipts and disbursements. The Sheriff's bookkeeper collects payments from customers, prepares monthly tax reports, prepares daily deposits, and takes deposits to the bank. Upon preparation of the monthly reports, the bookkeeper prepares and signs checks for payments. The Sheriff signs the monthly reports and the checks for payments.

Adequate segregation of duties would prevent the same person from having a significant role in the processing, recording, and reporting of receipts and disbursements. We recommend the Sheriff implement compensating controls to offset the lack of adequate segregation of duties. The Sheriff should document compensating controls by initialing reports or other supporting documentation as reviewed.

Sheriff's Response: No response.